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1. **CÍL PRÁCE (jaký byl a do jaké míry byl naplněn)**

I assume that the major goal of the bachelor´s work written by author has been met eventhough in this opinion I argue that it could had been written better way. Some critical remarks and suggestions would follow.

**2. OBSAHOVÉ ZPRACOVÁNÍ (náročnost, tvůrčí přístup, proporcionalita vlastní práce, vhodnost příloh)**

Above all, I would like to stress out that the author has written the BA work in English which should be considered as a positive decision. He has properly defined islamofobia and understood relationships between muslim communities and the British government and some political parties. Also the part devoted to the relationships between the UK and its EU policy implementation is very interesting. Also the part related to the British Muslim societies is very interesting and useful part of the BA work.

The critical remarks and suggestions could be summed up in following points:

Firstly, the title of the BA work is not written puntually: the author should take „Britain“ as a subtitle so that the major goal of the work could be obvious to the reader.

Secondly, islamophobia in Western Europe is properly defined, however, the work lacks any theoretical umbrella (could it be multiculturalism) and even western Europe has not been defined (and countries as Danemark, Sweden or Portugal had been left out).

Thirdly, the part „media coverage“ does not conduct any research based on media but fully relies on opinions raised by some other authors already. There is no own methodology or findings on which could author verify general (and consistent – in fact, I assume that majority of inhabitants has another problems 13 years after September 11th) raise of islamophobia Which method was takek

Fourthly, author counted implicitly with pre-hypothesis that there is an islamophobia in Western Europe after 9/11 and confirms that fact in his conclusion. But in relation to the previous remark „Third“ there is no such a real own research on which islamophobia could be confirmed.

1. **FORMÁLNÍ ÚPRAVA (jazykový projev, kvalita citací a používané literatury, grafická úprava)**

Again, I highly appreciate that the author decided to write his BA thesis although it has been obvious (as, similarly, in this opinion) that the English language is not native one of the writer.

1. **STRUČNÝ CELKOVÝ KOMENTÁŘ (silné a slabé stránky práce, zdůvodnění hodnocení)**

The mixed feelings prevail. Author understands some aspects of multiculturalism in the UK and islamophobia but, on the other hand, the BA work contents also some weak points – see paragarph 2 here.

1. **OTÁZKY A PŘIPOMÍNKY URČENÉ K ROZPRAVĚ PŘI OBHAJOBĚ**

See critical remarks on the part 2:

1. **NAVRHOVANÁ ZNÁMKA**

In the case of good defense I could still suggest „VERY GOOD“, but if the critical remarks could not be satisfactory answered I would rather suggest the mark „GOOD“.
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