Thesis Author: Michal Zeman

Title: AN ANALYSIS OF MODERN AUTHENTIC TEXTS FROM THE POINT OF VIEWOF LEXICAL MORPHOLOGY WITH THE FOCUS ON NEWSPAPERS ANDADVERTISENMENTSLength:47

Text Length: 35

A.	ssessment Criteria	Scale	Comments
1.	Introduction is well written, brief, interesting, and compelling. It motivates the work and provides a clear statement of the examined issue. It presents and overview of the thesis.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The Abstract as well as the Introduction are well written, giving a reader a sufficient survey of the structure of the work. The aims are stated clearly.
2.	The thesis shows the author's appropriate knowledge of the subject matter through the background/review of literature. The author presents information from a variety of quality electronic and print sources. Sources are relevant, balanced and include critical readings relating to the thesis or problem. Primary sources are included (if appropriate).	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The Theoretical Background is a carefully compiled chapter; it explains the terms of lexical morphology in detail and deals with phenomena which are relevant for reaching the results of the analysis (productivity, blocking, etc.). Apart from the "morphological part", the author pays attention to the information about the Newspaper Style, the description of which cannot not be omitted with regard to the material analyzed.
3.	The author carefully analyzed the information collected and drew appropriate and inventive conclusions supported by evidence. Ideas are richly supported with accurate details that develop the main point. The author's voice is evident.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The analysis is very well organized, it is easy to follow. Individual texts are analyzed from the point of view of occurring affixes and the author identifies also other word-formation processes. The commentaries are appropriate and the author is fully involved in the matter.
4.	The thesis displays critical thinking and avoids simplistic description or summary of information.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	See above
5.	Conclusion effectively restates the argument. It summarizes the main findings and follows logically from the analysis presented.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	In the Conclusion, the aims are reminded and the chapter gives the overall clear summary of the results. In addition, the author presents some suggestions for the possible

			further research.
6.	The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The text is well organized, the grammar and spelling is standard. Transitions are smooth and the whole text is comfortable to read. Occasionally, the punctuation is not perfect, e.g. p. 36: the last sentence: "the instances, in which these processes may be more common" – there should be no comma, the relative clause is identifying.
7.	The language use is precise. The student makes proficient use of language in a way that is appropriate for the discipline and/or genre in which the student is writing.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	Stylistically the work meets the criteria for a piece of academic work.
8.	The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	Formally, the work is well- presented.

Final Comments & Questions

The author has proved enthusiasm in his approach to the morphological topic. He processed the collected material in a clear and interesting way. Surely, the research has been a contribution to his linguistic theoretical knowledge as well as to practical skills to analyze the structure of language. It is an excellent piece of academic work.

The evaluation suggested: "výborně".

Supervisor/Reviewer: PhDr. Naděžda Stašková, Ph.D.

Date: April 29 2014

Signature: