

## Graduate Thesis Assessment Rubric

Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia

Thesis Author: Bc. Julie Krausová

Title: Using literature in language teaching

Length: 10

Text Length: 8

Assessment Criteria	Scale	Comments
1. Introduction is well written, brief, interesting, and compelling. It motivates the work and provides a clear statement of the problem. It places the problem in context. It presents an overview of the thesis.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable <u>Somewhat deficient</u> Very deficient	
2. Literature review is comprehensive and complete. It synthesizes a variety of sources and provides context for the research. It shows the author's understanding of the most relevant literature on the subject matter.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient <u>Very deficient</u>	
3. The methodology chapter provides clear and thorough description of the research methodology. It discusses why and what methods were chosen for research. The research methodology is appropriate for the identified research questions.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient <u>Very deficient</u>	
4. The results/data are analyzed and interpreted effectively. The chapter ties the theory with the findings. It addresses the applications and implications of the research. It discusses strengths, weaknesses, and limitations of the research.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient <u>Very deficient</u>	
5. The thesis shows critical and analytical thinking about the area of study and the author's expertise in this area.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient <u>Very deficient</u>	
6. The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author demonstrates high quality writing skills and uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient <u>Very deficient</u>	
7. The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient <u>Very deficient</u>	

### Final Comments & Questions

The work has an unacceptable length of 8 pages of text. However, even the short part submitted shows certain shortcomings in the language (wrong word order in dependent clauses, wrong number of substantives: *informations*, etc.) and style, to say nothing of the simplistic presentation of the theory. It is clear that the author needs a lot of more time to finish the research and work on the thesis carefully. In this phase, it is not possible to evaluate it better than UNACCEPTABLE and very deficient.

Supervisor/Reviewer: PhDr. Naděžda Stašková, PhD.

Date: 15.8.2016

Signature:

