#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Pulsed Nd:YAG deposition of nanostructured $FeS_{1-x}$ containing meta-stable phases

To cite this article: T Kenek et al 2017 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 175 012022

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

### **Related content**

- <u>The large-scale synthesis and</u> <u>characterization of carbon nanotubes filled</u> <u>with longcontinuous inorganic nanowires in</u> <u>supercritical C2</u> Wei Luo, Yi Xie, Kun Zhu et al.
- Iron sulfide ink for the growth of pyrite crystals Alec Kirkeminde, Phillip Gingrich, Maogang Gong et al.
- <u>Halide vapor phase epitaxy of twin-free -</u> <u>Ga\_O\_0 on sapphire (0001) substrates</u> Yuichi Oshima, Encarnación G. Víllora and Kiyoshi Shimamura

#### Pulsed Nd:YAG deposition of nanostructured FeS<sub>1-v</sub> containing meta-stable phases

T Křenek<sup>1</sup>\*, S Karatodorov<sup>2</sup>, R Medlín<sup>1</sup>, V Mihailov<sup>2</sup>, J Savková<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of West Bohemia, Research Centre New Technologies 30614 Plzen, **Czech Republic** <sup>2</sup>Institute of Solid State Physics - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: tkrenek@ntc.zcu.cz

Abstract.Pulsed near-IR laser irradiation of ferrous sulfide (FeS) in a vacuum allows a noncongruent ablation and deposition of nanostructured  $FeS_{1-x}$  thin films. Deposition has been performed on Al, Ta and Cu unheated substrate and analyzed by scanning (SEM) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and electron diffraction. Morphologically, the similar homogeneous, dark, metallic and adhesive appearanceshave been revealed for all the coats deposited on various substrates (by SEM). However, using HRTEM in agreement with electron diffraction, different phase composition on various substrates has been detected. Cubic pyrite phase (FeS<sub>2</sub>) has been detected on Ta substrate. Cubic pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) and metastable rhomboedric smythite Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>11</sub> have been found in case of Al substrate. Cubic pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>), metastable rhomboedric smythite Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>11</sub> and metastable orthorhombic marcasite (FeS<sub>2m</sub>) revealed HRTEM analysis of the film on Cu substrate. In case of all deposits the detected crystalline nanograins were surrounded by amorphous matrix.

#### 1. Introduction

Iron sulfide thin films attract attention because of its potential application for solar cell materials [eg. 1] and due to interesting electrical semiconducting [eg. 2] and magnetic [eg. 3] properties of this material. Although another solar cell materials (eg. such as cadmium, lead, indium or selenium) exhibit higher efficiencies, FeS non-toxicity, abundance, and cheap price make this candidate attractive. The iron sulfide (Fe-S) system represents a complex phase diagram including seven phases: pyrite (cubic- FeS<sub>2</sub>), marcasite (calcium chloride structure-FeS<sub>2</sub>), pyrrhotite-IT (Fe<sub>1 x</sub>S), pyrrhotite-4M (Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>), Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, greigite (cubic spinel- Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>), troilite- 2H (FeS) and mackinawite (Fe<sub>1+x</sub>S) [4]. Only pyrite (FeS<sub>2p</sub>) and pyrrhotite (Fe<sub>1-x</sub>) are stable.

Iron sulfide thin coats have been obtained by ion beam and reactive sputtering (FeS<sub>2</sub>) [5], vacuum thermal evaporation (FeS<sub>2</sub>) [6], chemical spray pyrolysis (FeS<sub>2</sub>)[7], sulfurization of iron oxides to FeS<sub>2</sub> [8], atmospheric-or low-pressure metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (AP or LP MOCVD; FeS<sub>2</sub>) [eg.9], FeS<sub>2</sub> thin films using LPCVD of iron pentacarbonyl [Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>] hydrogensulfide, and *tert*-butyl sulfide as precursors [10] and flash evaporation(FeS<sub>2</sub>) [11]. Iron sulfide nanoparticles were prepared using high-energy mechanical milling [12].

There have been published only few studies on laser ablative deposition of iron sulfide were interaction of reactive collisions with unheated substrate surfaces, were explored. The laser ablative

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

deposition of pyrite  $(FeS_2)$  on aluminium and silica substrates resulted in the deposition of films including FeS constituents under the both higher and room temperature [13].

Recently we have reported on the pulsed IR laser ablative deposition of ferrous sufide FeS on unheated silica, tantalum and copper substrates [14]. Herein we continue on near-IR Nd:YAG pulsed laser deposition on unheated Al, Ta, Cu substrates.

# 2. Experimental

The 1064 nm near IR laser irradiation and deposition experiments are conducted in  $10^{-2}$  Torr vacuum in a metal reactor with 343 mL volume. The metal reactor[15] has three borosilicate glass windows and it is connected to vacuum manifold and pressure transducer. The vacuum system used is Lavat AV 63.For the deposition, we use a pulsed Nd:YAG Quanta Ray GCR3 laser. The pulse duration at 1064 nm is 8 ns and the pulse energies used are up to 165 mJ. The repetition frequency is set to the maximum value of 20 Hz and the number of pulses for each sample is 2500. The radiation is focused witha 25 cm focal distance glass lens, producing up to 600 Jcm<sup>-2</sup> energy fluence at the target surface. The target is a FeS pellet with diameter 8 mm and height 5 mm positioned in the centre of the reactor. The substrate is positioned vertically above the target on top of a 9,5cm diameter glass cylinder. Three different types of substrates are used: tantalum, aluminium and copperfoils. Each metal substrate is pierced in the middle to allow the passing of the laser beam.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis (particle size and phase analysis) was carried out with a Transmission Electron Microscope JEM 2200FS (Shottky) from JEOL operated at 200kV with CCD Gatan (Digital Micrograph software), in-column Omega energy filter for EFTEM and EELS analysis, STEM mode with HAADF detector and EDS 80mm<sup>2</sup> SDD (Silicon Drift Detector) X-Max detector from Oxfordon scraped samples that were subsequently dispersed in ethanol followed by the application of a drop of diluted suspension on a polymer/carbon coated Cu grid. The diffraction patterns were evaluated using the database JCPDS-2 and ProcessDiffraction software package [16]. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) analysis was carried out with a JEOL JSM 7600F autoemission microscope with EPMA 50mm<sup>2</sup> SDD X-MAX EDS.The FeS pellet was made at 100 atm. on a hydraulic press from a commercially available iron sulfide powder (FeS, 99% Fe, Aldrich).

#### 3. Results and discussion

The near IR laser irradiation of the FeS pellet in the vacuum leads to the pellet ablation and to the formation of visible luminescence (plasma) zone exhibits as a bluish plume which is filling whole glass cylinder [15]. The highly focused pulsed laser irradiation of FeS pellet caused creation of crater of ejected particles on adjacent of Ta, Al, Cu, where they are deposited as solid films. The coats on all selected substrates exhibit the same homogeneous, dark, metallic and adhesive appearances.



Figure 1. Morphology of FeS deposits on (A) aluminium, (B) copper, (C) tantalum substrate

The SEM images (Figure 1) of the coats deposited on Al (Figure 1 A), Cu (Figure 1 B) and Ta (Figure 1 C) show round-shaped particles which dimension span from tens of nm up to units of  $\mu$ m on the flat discontinuous areas. EDX analyses revealed similar composition of all three samples. Round shaped

particles, flat areas as well as ring-like objects exhibit heterogeneous composition with deficient of S. The S/Fe atomic percent ratio spans from 1:1 up to 1:2. The X-ray diffraction analysis of the commercial FeS sample allows the estimation of the relative amounts of crystalline troilite ( $\sim$ 63%), pyrrhotite ( $\sim$ 24%) and alpha-Fe (13%) it contains [14].

The phase composition of the prepared samples was studied by electron diffraction. The electron diffraction (Figure 2 A) of FeS deposit on Ta substratewhich is generally considered as an inert substrate reveals the presence of stable cubic pyrite (JCPDS file 00-001-1295) phase. The HRTEM image (Figure 2 B) shows partially crystallized structure with interlayer spacing d = 0.192 nm which corresponds with cubic pyriteinterplanar distance 220, according to JCPDS PDF 00-001-1295. From the HRTEM image is visible that the deposit has amorphous matrix with many small nanocrystals with the size about 3-5 nm.



**Figure 2.** (A) Electron diffraction of FeS deposit on Ta substrate, (B) HRTEM image of FeS deposit on Ta substrate depicting cubic pyrite FeS<sub>2</sub> phase

The FeS thin film deposit on aluminium substrate exhibits the presence of two crystalline phases. In agreement with electron diffraction (Figure 3 A) the deposit consists of stable FeS<sub>2</sub>cubic pyrite (01-1295) and interestingly of unstable Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>11</sub> rhombohedral smythite phase (JCPDS 10-0437). The phases show HRTEM images (figure 3B, C)where interlayer spacing d = 0.295 nm (012) and d = 0.270 nm (200)corresponds with smythite phase and pyrite phase respectively.



**Figure 3.**(A) Electron diffraction of FeS deposit on Al substrate; (B) HRTEM image of FeS deposit on Al substrate depicting unstable  $Fe_9S_{11}$  rhombohedral smythite and (C) cubic pyrite  $FeS_2$  phase

Ablative deposition on copper substrate led to the formation of highly multiphase structure composed of FeS<sub>2</sub>cubic pyrite, unstable Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>11</sub>rhombohedral smythite and FeS<sub>2</sub>orthorhombic marcasite (JCPDS 00-003-0799). Electron diffraction assignment is given in Figure 4 A.The presence of unstable FeS phases confirm also HRTEM analyses (Figure 4 B, C) whose using allowed detection of d = 0.258 nm and d = 0.169 nm interlayer spacing which fitswith rhombohedral smythite(107) and orthorhombic marcasite phase (002) respectively.



**Figure 4.**(A) Electron diffraction of FeS deposit on Cu substrate; HRTEM image of FeS deposit on Ta substrate depicting (B) unstable  $Fe_9S_{11}$  rhombohedral smythite, (C)  $FeS_2$  orthoromic marcasite phase (002)

# 4. Conclusion

Pulsed near-IR laser deposition of FeS in a vacuum on Al, Ta and Cu substrate has been performed and the thin films were analysed by scanning (SEM) and high resolution transmission electron (HRTEM) microscopy and electron diffraction. SEM analysis revealed homogeneous, dark, metallic deposit round-shaped and ring-like particles. Via HRTEM and electron diffraction have been detected stable cubic pyrite phase (FeS<sub>2</sub>) on Ta, Cu and Al substrate, metastable rhomboedric smythite Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>11</sub> on Al and Cu substrate and metastable orthorhombic marcasite (FeS<sub>2m</sub>) on Cu substrate. These results represent the first examples of ablative deposition of unstable crystalline phases of ferrous sulfide.

# Acknowledgements

The result was developed within the CENTEM project, reg. no. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0088, cofounded by the ERDF as part of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports OP RDI programme and, in the follow-up sustainability stage, supported through CENTEM PLUS (LO1402) by financial means from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports under the National Sustainability Programme I and the project INERA (REGPOT-2012-2013-1).

# References

- [1] Hofmann W K, Birkholz M 1990 Solar Energy Materials 20 149
- [2] Lehner S W, Savage K S, Ayers J C 2006 Journal of Crystal Growth 286 306
- [3] Takahashi T 1973 Solid State Communications 13 (9) 1335
- [4] Soon J M, Goh L Y, Loh K P 2007 Applied Physics Letters 91 084105-1.
- Birkholz M, Lichtenberger D, Hoepfner C, Fiechter S 1992 Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells 27 243
- [6] Rezig B, Dalma H, Kanzai M 1992 Renewable Energy 2 25
- [7] Smestad G, Da Silva A, Tributsch H, Fiechter S, Kunst M, Meziani N, Birkholz M 1989 Solar Energy Materials 18 299
- [8] Smestad G, Ennaoui E, Fiechter S, Tributsch H, Hofman W K, Birkholz M 1990 Solar Energy Materials 20149
- [9] Thomas B, Cibik T, Hoepfner C, Diesner D, Ehlers G, Fiechter S, Ellmer K 1998 *Journal of Materials Science* **9** 61
- [10] Schleigh D M H, Chang S W 1991 Journal of Crystal Growth 112 737
- [11] Ferrer IJ, Sanchez C 1991 Journal of Applied Physics 70 2641
- [12] Chin P P, Ding J, Yi JB, Liu B H 2005 Journal of Alloys and Compounds **390** 255
- [13] Yokoyma D, Namiki K, Yamada Y J 2006 Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 268 283
- [14] Pola J, Urbanová M, Pokorná D, Bezdička P, Kupčík J, Křenek T 2014 RSC Advances 4 11543
- [15] Karatodorov S, Mihailov V, KřenekT, Grozeva M 2016 Journal of Physics: Conference Series 700 012001
- [16] Lábár J L 2005 Ultramicroscopy **103** 237